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We report here the use of emission measurements to calculate rate constants for electron transfer in polypyridyl complexes of $\operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}$. The complexes $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{BIQD})\right](\mathrm{OTf})$ (BIQD is benz[g]isoquinoline-5,10-dione; OTf is $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}$), $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \text { bpy }\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{AFA})\left(\mathrm{AFA}^{2-}\right.$ is 2,6 -dioxyanthraquinone dianion), and $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{OQD})\right]-$ (OTf) (OQD is 1 -methyl-6-oxyquinoline) $)^{1,2}$ were chosen for study as examples where electron transfer acceptor ligands and a metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) chromophore are linked with moderate to weak electronic coupling.




Absorption spectra for these complexes are typical of related $\operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes. For $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right) \operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{BIQD})\right](\mathrm{OTf})$ in 1,2 -dichloroethane (DCE) a band at 339 nm arises from a $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}$ $\rightarrow 4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ bpy transition and lower energy bands from $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}$ $\rightarrow$ BIQD transitions.

Transient absorption changes following 355-460 nm laser flash photolysis in DCE are consistent with formation of MLCT redox-separated states based on BIQD, $\mathrm{AFA}^{2-}$, or OQD as acceptor ligands. For example, for the BIQD complex a double maximum appears at 600 and 650 nm similar to the absorption spectrum of $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right) \operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{BIQD}^{\bullet}\right)\right]$ generated by electrochemical reduction at -0.34 V vs SSCE in $0.1 \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{N}(n-$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ (TBAH) in DCE ( $n=1.0$ ). The complex undergoes reducti at $E_{1 / 2}=-0.39 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{BIQD}^{0 /-}\right)$ and $-1.31\left(4,4^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{bpy}^{0 /-}\right)$ by cyclic voltammetry. For the BIQD complex, the redox-separated state is formed by a combination of direct $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow$ LA excitation and $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}} \rightarrow 4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ bpy excitation followed by $4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ bpy $\rightarrow$ LA electron transfer, as in Scheme $1 .{ }^{3}$

The redox-separated states return to the ground state by a combination of radiative ( $k_{\mathrm{r}}$ in Scheme 1) and nonradiative processes ( $k_{\mathrm{b}}$ in Scheme 1) with the latter occurring by electron transfer, $k_{\mathrm{ET}}=k_{\mathrm{b}}$. Values are given in Table 1.

The appearance of emission allows an important test to be made of electron transfer theory. These reactions occur deeply in the inverted region ${ }^{4}$ with $-\Delta G^{\circ}>\lambda$ as shown by the analysis below. $\Delta G^{\circ}$ is the free energy change and $\lambda$ the reorganizational
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## Scheme 1



energy. The electron transfer rate constant is given by ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln k_{\mathrm{ET}}=\ln v_{\mathrm{ET}}+\ln [F(\text { calc })] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$v_{\mathrm{ET}}$ is the frequency factor and $\ln [F$ (calc) $]$ is the electron transfer barrier. In the nonadiabatic limit, $v_{\mathrm{ET}}$ is related to $H_{\mathrm{DA}}$, the delocalization energy arising from electronic coupling between donor and acceptor, by ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{ET}}=\frac{2 \pi H_{\mathrm{DA}}{ }^{2}}{\hbar} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a single high or medium frequency coupled vibration or average mode with $E_{0} \gg \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}$, and $\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}} \gg k_{\mathrm{B}} T, \ln [F$ (calc)] is given by the energy gap law result in eq 3. In this equation, $S_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}$ are the electron-vibrational coupling constant and quantum spacing for the coupled mode. $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}$ is the sum of the solvent reorganizational energy ( $\lambda_{0}$ ) and the reorganizational energy contributed by coupled low-frequency vibrations treated classically $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{L}}\right) . E_{0}\left(=\left|\Delta G^{\circ}\right|-\lambda_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is the $v^{*}=0$ to $v=0$ energy gap. ${ }^{6,7}$ Multiple vibrations are coupled to the transition between states. The mode-averaging procedure used here has been used successfully for nonradiative decay of MLCT excited states, for example. ${ }^{6,8}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln [F(\text { calc })]= \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(2 \pi \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}} E_{0}\right)-S_{\mathrm{M}}-\frac{\gamma E_{0}}{\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}}+\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}}\right)^{2}\left(k_{\mathrm{B}} T \lambda_{0}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \gamma=\ln \left(\frac{E_{0}}{S_{\mathrm{M}} \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}}\right)-1 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

All of the quantities in eq 3 can be evaluated by FranckCondon analysis of the emission spectra. The results are given in Table $1, \Delta \bar{\nu}_{0,1 / 2}=\left(k_{\mathrm{B}} T \lambda_{0}^{\prime} 16 \ln (2)\right)^{2}$. $H_{\mathrm{DA}}$ can be calculated

[^1]Table 1. Calculated and Experimental Quantities for Electron Transfer in Scheme 1 in 1,2-Dichloroethane at Room Temperature

|  | complex |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BIQD | $\mathrm{AFA}^{2-}$ | OQD |
| $E_{\text {em }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{a}$ | 14530 | 15060 | 13370 |
| $\tau(\mathrm{ns})^{b}$ | 25 | $5.6 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\phi_{\text {em }} c$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\left\langle\bar{v}^{-3}\right\rangle^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$ | $2.48 \times 10^{12}$ | $2.86 \times 10^{12}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{12}$ |
| $E_{0}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{d}$ | 14920 | 15490 | 13610 |
| $S_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{d}$ | 0.81 | 0.95 | 1.11 |
| $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{0,1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{d}$ | 2900 | 2830 | 1770 |
| $\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{d}$ | 1625 | 1500 | 1376 |
| $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{d}$ | 3660 | 3500 | 1360 |
| $E_{\text {abs }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{e}$ | 23560 | 23910 | 17870 |
| $\epsilon_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{e}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{1}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{0,1 / 2}(\mathrm{abs})\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{e}$ | 3834 | 4052 | 3170 |
| $d\left(\AA{ }^{\text {A }}\right)^{f}$ | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| $H_{\text {DA }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 153 | 9.5 | 3.9 |
| $\ln [F$ (calc) $]$ | -21.65 | -22.84 | -21.43 |
| $k_{\mathrm{ET}, \text { obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{b}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{5}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $k_{\mathrm{ET}, \text { calc }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{g}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{4}$ | $8.9 \times 10^{3}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Energy of the emission maximum. ${ }^{b}$ From time-resolved absorption measurements. ${ }^{c}$ Based on $\left[(\mathrm{bpy}) \mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{CO}){ }_{3}(4\right.$-ethylpyridine $\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ in 1,2 dichloroethane or $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ in acetonitrile at 296 K as standards. ${ }^{6,16}{ }^{d}$ By emission spectral fitting. ${ }^{8}{ }^{e}$ Molar extinction coefficient, maximum, and bandwidth for absorption $h v_{2}$ in Scheme 1. $\epsilon_{\max }$ from eq $5 ; E_{\mathrm{abs}} \approx E_{0}+S_{\mathrm{M}} \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}+2 \lambda_{0}^{\prime}{ }^{3} \Delta \bar{\nu}_{0,1 / 2}(\mathrm{abs})$ taken as the experimental band width at half height for the emission band. ${ }^{f}$ Distance between the $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ion and the center of the LA acceptor, estimated from crystal structure data of related complexes. ${ }^{g}$ Calculated from eq 1 .
from the emission quantum yield ( $\phi_{\mathrm{em}}$ ) and lifetime ( $k_{\mathrm{r}}=\phi_{\mathrm{em}} /$ $\tau$ ) from the Strickler-Berg equation (eq 5), ${ }^{9}$ and an equation originally applied to mixed-valence compounds by Hush, eq 7. ${ }^{10,11}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(3.05 \times 10^{-9}\right) n^{2}\left\langle\bar{v}^{-3}\right\rangle^{-1} \frac{\epsilon_{\max } \Delta \bar{v}_{0,1 / 2}(\mathrm{abs})}{E_{\mathrm{abs}}}  \tag{5}\\
\left\langle\bar{v}^{-3}\right\rangle^{-1}=\frac{\int I(\bar{v}) \mathrm{d} \bar{v}}{\int \bar{v}^{-3} I(\bar{v}) \mathrm{d} \bar{v}}  \tag{6}\\
H_{\mathrm{DA}}=\frac{2.06 \times 10^{-2}}{r}\left(\epsilon_{\max } E_{\mathrm{abs}} \Delta \bar{v}_{0,1 / 2}(\mathrm{abs})\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

These give

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{DA}}^{2}=\left(1.39 \times 10^{5}\right)\left(\frac{E_{\mathrm{abs}}}{n d}\right)^{2}\left\langle\bar{v}^{-3}\right\rangle k_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In eq $8, n$ is the index of refraction of the solvent and $d$ the electron transfer distance. The quantities $\epsilon_{\max }, \Delta \bar{\nu}_{0,1 / 2}(\mathrm{abs})$, and $E_{\text {abs }}$ are the molar extinction coefficient, bandwidth, and band maximum for absorption $h v_{2}$ in Scheme 1. These bands are not resolved in the UV-visible spectra, but $E_{\text {abs }}$ can be estimated from $E_{\text {abs }} \approx E_{0}+S_{\mathrm{M}} \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}+2 \lambda_{0}^{\prime}{ }^{3}$ and $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{0,1 / 2}(\mathrm{abs})$ from the bandwidth of the emission spectrum (Table 1).

Quantities of relevance to electron transfer in these complexes are listed in Table 1. There are some notable entries. One is $k_{\mathrm{ET}}$, where there is agreement to within $4-14$ between calculated and observed values. The calculated values are systematically lower than the observed values. In other studies eq 7 has been found to underestimate $H_{\mathrm{DA}}$. ${ }^{12}$ This case is one of a small but increasing number of examples where spectroscopic results have been used successfully to calculate rate constants or relative rate constants for radiative or nonradiative decay, ${ }^{6,9}$ electron transfer in the normal region, ${ }^{16}$ and electron or energy transfer in the inverted region. ${ }^{14}$

[^2]Given the energy gaps for AFA ${ }^{\bullet 3-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{OQD}^{--} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{II}}$ electron transfer, $k_{\mathrm{ET}}$ is remarkably slow ( $k_{\mathrm{ET}}{ }^{-1}=\tau=5.6$ and $15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ). The photochemically produced redox equivalents are stored for extended periods in these structurally simple chromophore-quencher complexes. The long lifetimes are a direct consequence of weak electronic coupling through the aryloxy bridge with $H_{\mathrm{DA}}=9.5\left(\mathrm{AFA}^{\bullet 3-}\right)$ and $3.9\left(\mathrm{OQD}^{\bullet-}\right)$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{15}$

From emission spectral analysis, the magnitude of $\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}$ for $\mathrm{OQD}^{\cdot-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{II}}$ emission is typical of values found for other polypyridyl and pyridinium acceptors. ${ }^{6,16} \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{M}}$ is higher for the quinone acceptor because of contributions from quinoid stretching modes ${ }^{18 \mathrm{a}}$ which appear at $1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and 1683 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ in $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right) \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{BIQD})\right]^{+}$by resonance Raman measurements. ${ }^{18 \mathrm{~b}} \lambda_{0}^{\prime}$ is also higher for the quinone acceptors, presumably due to strong specific interactions between solvent molecules and the quinoid O -atoms in the semiquinone radical anion part of the redox-separated states (Scheme 1).
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